

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,125	03/03/2003	Barry Errol Ellis	3955.114USWO	1576	
23552 7	05/03/2005		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			LEE, JONG SUK		
P.O. BOX 290 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3673		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/02/2004	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14	
×	•
u	ı
u	,
v	

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/018,12	5	ELLIS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(James) Lee	3673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
THE   - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN INSIDE THIS COMMUNION IN IT I	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. ) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wi vill, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. :ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 14 April 2005.			•		
2a)□	•	b) This action is n	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims			. ~			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15,18 and 22-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 1-8,15,18 and 26 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 9-11 and 22-25 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 12-14 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documenal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  See of References Cited (PTO-892)  See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or learno(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 14, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed January 21, 2005 has been entered.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "each restraint face comprises a continuous face" in claims 4, 11 and 24, lines 1-2, respectively, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

Art Unit: 3673

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

4. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 9, line 2: "to to" should be -- to --.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 26: The limitation, "each restraint zone" in lines 1-2 lacks clear antecedent basis. It appears to be dependent upon claim 25 including "a plurality of restraint zones" in line 2 and has been treated as such.

Art Unit: 3673

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or
    on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 9-11 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dareing (US 4,225,270).

Dareing discloses an apparatus for a section of an underwater flowline to a connection point, the apparatus comprising a pair of restraint means/faces (19, 31) spaced apart to receive a length of an elongate structure (22) (see Figs. 7, 10, 11), each restraint face being configured to operate along and control curvature of the length of the elongate structure during lateral deflection thereof (col.4, lines 3-8; col.6, lines 44-56), each restraint face providing for lateral movement of the length of the elongate structure within the restraint, wherein each restraint face is curved in a direction along the length of the elongate structure when received therebetween, wherein each restraint face including a continuous face, each restraint means (19) comprising a plurality of restraint zones disposed in the required configuration, and during which lateral movement, the restraint means controls curvature of the elongate structure by impressing a characteristic curvature of the restraint means therealong (see Figs. 1-14; col.3, lines 4-68; col.4, lines 1-68; col.5, lines 1-50).

Art Unit: 3673

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-8, 15 and 18 would be allowable over the prior art of record.
- 10. Claims 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claim 26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references cited disclose a method and apparatus for connecting a flowline to an offshore installation, a seismic cable deployment apparatus and a reduced J-tube pull force.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-7044. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:00 pm, Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Lee /jjl April 28, 2005

> Jong-Suk (James) Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673